## FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State and Territories \*must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with SCHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND** 

Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND

Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND

Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

<sup>\* -</sup> When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

# DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Terri	tory:				MT					
	(Name of State/Territory)									
The followi 2108(a)).	The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a)).									
Signature:										
Jackie Forba										
SCHIP Program Name(s): All, Montana										
SCHIP Pro	SCHIP Program Type:  SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above									
Reporting I	Period: _	2008		Note: Fed	eral Fiscal Year 2008 sta	rts 10/1/07 and ends 9/30/08.				
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Jackie For	ba							
Address:	Montana	CHIP, Dept of P	ublic Heal	th & Hum	an Servic					
7 taar coo.	Wortane	Com , Dept or i	ublic Heali	in a mann	an oci vic					
	PO Box	202951								
City:	Helena		_ State:	MT	Zip:	59620				
Phone:	406-444-	5288		_ Fax:	406-444-4533					
Email:	jforba@ı	mt.gov								
Submission	n Date:	12/29/2008								

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

# **SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES**

SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program

To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program				Se	Separate Child Health Program						
				* Up	per % of FPL	are de	fined as	Up to a	nd Including			
				Gross o	or Net Income	: ALL	Age Gro	ups as	indicated be	low		
	Is income calculated as				Gross Income	calc	income culated as				Gross In	come
	gross or r income?	net			Income Net of Disregards		ss or net acome?			Incon	ne Net of	Disregards
							From		% of F conception birth	on to		% of FPL*
	From			% of FPL for infants		% of FPL *	From		% of FP infant		175	% of FPL *
Eligibility	From			% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	r	% of FPL *	From		% of FP children a throug	ges 1	175	% of FPL *
	From	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16			% of FPL *	From		% of FPI children a <b>through</b>		175	% of FPL *	
From				% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18		% of FPL *	From		% of FP children ag and 1	ges <b>17</b>	175	% of FPL *
Is presumptive provided for o		′		No				$\boxtimes$	No			
provided for c	ormarorr.	- 1							Yes - Pleas	e descr	ibe belov	w:
		1								For which populations (include the FPL levels) <b>[1000]</b>		
				Yes, for w	Yes, for whom and how long? [1				Average number of presum eligibility periods granted periodic individual and average dura presumptive eligibility periodic			er ation of the
									Brief descripeligibility po			esumptive

		N/A		N/A				
		No	$\boxtimes$	No				
Is retroactive eligibility available?		Yes, for whom and how long?		Yes, fo	or whom and how long?			
		N/A		N/A				
	•							
Does your State Plan				No				
contain authority to implement a waiting list?		Not applicable	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
implement a waiting list:				N/A				
Doog your program have		No		No				
Does your program have a mail-in application?		Yes	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
		N/A		N/A				
Can an applicant apply		No	$\boxtimes$	No				
for your program over the		Yes		Yes				
phone?		N/A		N/A				
Does your program have an application on your		No		No				
website that can be printed, completed and		Yes	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
mailed in?		N/A		N/A				
				•				
		No	$\boxtimes$	No				
		Yes – please check all that apply		Yes -	please check all that apply			
		Signature page must be printed and mailed in			ignature page must be printed nd mailed in			
Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?		Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)		_ m	amily documentation must be nailed (i.e., income ocumentation)			
is. your program on mo:		Electronic signature is required			lectronic signature is required			
					o Signature is required			
				1				
		N/A		N/A				
Does your program		No	$\triangleright$		No			

require a face-to-face interview during initial		Yes			Yes				
application		N/A	1			N/A			
	□ No					No			
			Yes		$\boxtimes$	Yes			
	Speci	fy nu	imber of months		Specify numb	er of months 1			
						ips (including FPL levels) does uninsurance apply? [1000]			
					CHIP enrollment is delayed by one month for all groups when children were previously covered by creditable health insurance.				
Does your program require a child to be					List all exemp uninsurance [	tions to imposing the period of <b>1000</b> ]			
uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?					insurer died; 3 or is no longer was fired or la is no longer a 6. Coverage is Benefits are n Coverage is li illness or situa cancer, or acc was a step-pa coverage whe	changed employers; 2. The B. The insurer became disabled rable to work; 4. The insurer hid-off; 5. Dependent coverage viailable through the employer; s COBRA coverage; 7. The contraction of the child; 8. The mitted to a certain body part, ation (e.g. vision or dental, cidental coverage); 9. Insurer the who discontinued on he/she divorced the child's doptive parent.			
			N/A			N/A			
					<u> </u>	I			
		No				No			
		Yes	3			Yes			
Does your program match prospective enrollees to a database that details private insurance status?		(BCBSMT) is Montar administrator. BCBS largest insurance car in CHIP are matched database monthly. B of any match. CHIP			and Blue Shield of Montana Montana's third party BCBSMT is also Montana's nce carrier. Children enrolled natched with BCBSMT's nthly. BCBSMT notifies CHIP CHIP investigates all matches of children with private health				
		N/A	4			N/A			
Does your program			No			No			

provide period of		Yes		$\boxtimes$		Yes				
continuous coverage regardless of income		Specify numb	er of months	Specify number of months 12						
changes?			hen a child would lose period in the box below	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below						
				A child is disenrolled during the 12-month						
					continous coverage period if he or she is:  1. covered by other creditable insurance					
				and CHI	P is n	nade awar	re of the cove	rage; 2.		
				under th	e stat	e employe	n coverage be se or the Univ	ersity		
							eligible for Mal insitiution;			
				19 years	of ag	ge; 6. mov	es out of state	e; 7.		
				moves a family; o			ble to locate	the		
				NOTE:	Wher	a waiting	list is in place	e, 12		
					s not necessa ollment due to					
				spent on	the v	waiting list				
		N/A				N/A				
		No			No					
		Yes			Ye					
	Enrollment fee			Enro		nt fee				
	amount				amou					
Does your program	Premium amount					mount				
require premiums or an enrollment fee?	Yea	arly cap			early oriefly	•	ee structure ir	n the box		
	If yes, b		ee structure in the box	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee						
	below			amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)						
							,			
		N/A			N/A	4				
Daga yayır program	□ No				No.					
Does your program impose copayments or	☐ Ye	s			⁄es					
coinsurance?	□ N/A	4			N/A					
	<u>,                                     </u>									
	□ No				No					
Does your program impose deductibles?	☐ Ye	S		Yes						
impose deddelibies:	□ N/A	4			N/A					
Does your program	☐ No				No.					
require an assets test?	☐ Ye	S			es/					
	If Yes, pl	ease describe	below	If Yes, p	lease	e describe	If Yes, please describe below			

		N/A		]	N/A
		No			No
Does your program		Yes	$\boxtimes$		Yes
require income	If Ye	s, please describe below [1000]	If Y	es,	please describe below [1000]
disregards? (Note: if you checked off net income in the eligibility question, you must complete this question)			1. Seac cou	\$1, h f nte \$2,	e disregards include: 440 annual work expense disregard for amily member whose earned income is ed, and 400 annual dependent care expense pendent receiving care.
	Ш	N/A			N/A
		Managed Care		1	Managed Care
	片			1	-
Which delivery system(s)	Ш	Primary Care Case Management	<u> </u>		Primary Care Case Management
does your program use?	Ш	Fee for Service	$\boxtimes$		Fee for Service
	Please describe which groups receive which delivery system [500]				e describe which groups receive which ry system [500]
		No			No
		Yes	$\boxtimes$	,	Yes
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		<u> </u>	We send out form to family with their information precompleted and ask for confirmation
expiring?		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed			We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
		N/A			N/A
Enter any Narrative text belo	ow. [7	500]			
<b>Comments on Responses in</b>	ı Tabl	le:			
Is there an assets test	for ch	nildren in your Medicaid program?			Yes  No  N/A
Is it different from the	assets	s test in your separate child health program?	?		Yes No No
Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?					Yes No NA

	Are they different from program?	the income disregard	s in your separate child heal	th [	⊠ Y	es		No	□ N	/A
	Is a joint application (i.e Medicaid and separate child			es	$\boxtimes$	No	□ N	/A		
	If you have a joint appli eligibility for both Medicaid			es		No	N	/A		
	8. Indicate what docu	mentation is required	at initial application							
	Income Citizenship Insured Status	Self-Declaration	Self-Declaration with internal verification		Docu	umenta	tion I [ [	Requii	red	
	lave you made changes to a cate "yes" or "no change" by		olicy or program areas durino column.	g the re	porting p	eriod?	Plea	ase		
						_l			0	
				Expa	Medicai ansion S Progran	CHIP	_	C	Separate hild Heal Program	lth
				Expa	ansion S	CHIP		Yes	child Heal	lth
	Applicant and enrollee protaring Process to State Law)	ections (e.g., change	d from the Medicaid Fair	Ехра	ension S Progran	CHIP n			Child Heal Program No	th 1
Hea		ections (e.g., change	d from the Medicaid Fair	Yes	ension S Progran	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	th 1
Hea	aring Process to State Law)	, 5	d from the Medicaid Fair	Yes	Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	th 1
Hea	Application	, 5	d from the Medicaid Fair	Yes	Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	th 1
Hea	Application  Application documentation	requirements		Yes	Ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A
Hea	Application Application documentation Benefit structure	requirements		Yes	Ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A
Hea	Application  Application documentation  Benefit structure  Cost sharing (including amo	requirements		Yes	Ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A
Hea	Application Application documentation Benefit structure Cost sharing (including amo	requirements ounts, populations, &	collection process)	Yes	Ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A
Hea	Application Application Application documentation Benefit structure Cost sharing (including amount of the cost of	requirements ounts, populations, &	collection process)	Yes	ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A  N/A  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D
Hea	Application  Application  Application documentation  Benefit structure  Cost sharing (including amount of the cost	requirements ounts, populations, &	collection process)	Yes	ansion S Program No Change	N/A		Yes	Child Heal Program No Change	N/A  D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D

Eligibility redetermination process						$\boxtimes$	
Enrollment process for health plan selection						$\boxtimes$	
Family coverage						$\boxtimes$	
Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)						$\boxtimes$	
Premium assistance						$\boxtimes$	
Prenatal Eligibility expansion						$\boxtimes$	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)							
Parents						$\boxtimes$	
Pregnant women						$\boxtimes$	
Childless adults							
Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, a of fraud and abuse					$\boxtimes$		
Other – please specify							
9. For each topic you responded yes to above, please expl	ain the change and w	hy the	change w	/as made	e, below:		
Applicant and enrollee protections							
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
Application							
Application documentation requirements							
Benefit structure							
Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)							
Crowd out policies							

Delivery system	
Eligibility determination process	
(including implementing a waiting lists or open	
enrollment periods)	
Eligibility levels / target population	
Engionity levels / target population	
Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Income diaragarda in Madigaid and/or SCHID	
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Eligibility redetermination process	
Enrollment process for health plan selection	
Family coverage	
Outreach	
Premium assistance	
Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
vvalvei populations (iunded under title AAI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
Criticiess addits	
Methods and procedures for prevention,	
investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	

C.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Although Medicaid and CHIP do not share an application, information is shared between the two programs. CHIP applications from families who apply to CHIP but are determined potentially eligible for Medicaid are mailed to the family's local Office of Public Assistance for a Medicaid determination. Conversely, families denied or closed off Medicaid are referred to CHIP via an electronic referral system. CHIP reviews the Medicaid generated referral and either enrolls children whose family has an open CHIP family span or mails the family a partially pre-populated CHIP application to complete.

## SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data is available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

## SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2006 and FFY 2007) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2008). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

<u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

<u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.

<u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.

Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

#### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

<u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2008.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2008.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

## **Measurement Specification:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

## **Definition of Population included in the Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

#### Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2009, 2010, and 2011. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2006 through 2008), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

## Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

## **MEASURE:** Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Did you report on this goal?  ☑ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this goal?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this goal?  ⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2005	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2006	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2007
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: Enrollees included on this report were continuosly enrolled during the reporting period with no more than one break in enrollment of up to 45 days during the reporting period.	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain: Standard HEDIS definition	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain: HEDIS 2008
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from CHIP claims.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator: Standard HEDIS definition. CHIP enrollees from 0 to 15 months old during the measurement year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2008. CHIP enrollees from 0 to 15 months old during the measurement year.
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

	FFY 2006		FFY 2007		FFY 2008		
Percent with specified numl <u>0 visits</u> Numerator: 3	erator: 3 Numerator: 14		leasurement Data: S/HEDIS-like methodology) Imber of visits 4 visits Numerator: 11	Percent with specified n <u>0 visits</u> Numerator: 6	S/HEDIS-like methodology) umber of visits 4 visits Numerator: 19		
Denominator: 48 Rate: 6.3	Denominator: 48 Rate: 29.2	Denominator: 38 Rate: 7.9	Denominator: 38 Rate: 28.9	Denominator: 75 Rate: 8	Denominator: 75 Rate: 25.3		
1 visit Numerator: 4 Denominator: 48 Rate: 8.3	5 visits Numerator: 10 Denominator: 48 Rate: 20.8	1 visit Numerator: 3 Denominator: 38 Rate: 7.9	5 visits Numerator: 8 Denominator: 38 Rate: 21.1	1 visit Numerator: 4 Denominator: 75 Rate: 5.3	5 visits Numerator: 23 Denominator: 75 Rate: 30.7		
2 visits Numerator: 5 Denominator: 48 Rate: 10.4	6+ visits Numerator: 6 Denominator: 48 Rate: 12.5	2 visits Numerator: 3 Denominator: 38 Rate: 7.9	6+ visits Numerator: 2 Denominator: 38 Rate: 5.3	2 visits Numerator: 4 Denominator: 75 Rate: 5.3	6+ visits Numerator: 9 Denominator: 75 Rate: 12		
3 visits Numerator: 6 Denominator: 48 Rate: 12.5		3 visits Numerator: 8 Denominator: 38 Rate: 21.1		3 visits Numerator: 10 Denominator: 75 Rate: 13.3	Numerator: 10 Denominator: 75		
Additional notes on measure: We continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. We mail "Healthy Start, Grow Smart" information packets to families with newborns. The packets include a brochure for each month of a child's life from birth through 12 months and includes information about the child's health care intervals through 12 months of age. CHIP quarterly newsletter also stresses the importance of preventive care.		importance of well-child Grow Smart" information newborns. The packets in health care needs for each through 12 months of ag Additionally, our contract Montana included an image 2007 "Keeping Well" ne	ctor Blue Cross Blue Shield of munization schedule in its August wsletter to CHIP-enrolled families	in this section in FFY 20 Explanation of Progress			
Other Performance Measi		Other Performance Me		Other Performance Me			
If reporting with another m	nethodology)	(If reporting with anothe	r methodology)	(If reporting with another	er methodology)		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:			
Denominator: Rate:		Denominator: Rate:		Denominator: Rate:			

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Infant and toddler well-child visits increased between FFY 2007 and FFY 2008. Montana CHIP exceeded our objectives in three of seven categories:

0 visits - 7.9% in FFY 2007 and 8% in FFY 2008

5 visits - 21.1% in FFY 2007 and 30.7% in FFY 2008

6+ visits - 5.3% in FFY 2007 and 12% in FFY 2008

What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? We continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. We mail "Healthy Start, Grow Smart" informational packets to families with newborns. The packets include brochures about a child's health care needs for each month of a child's life from birth through 12 months of age. Additionally, our contractor, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana, sent its August, 2008 "Keeping Well" well-child information to all CHIP-enrolled families (PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHMENT A)

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Montana will continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. Our FFY 2009 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels.

0 visits - 8%

1 visits - 5.3%

2 visits - 5.3%

3 visits - 13.3%

4 visits - 25.3%

5 visits - 30.7%

6+ visits - 12%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana will continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. Our FFY 2010 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels.

0 visits - 8%

1 visits - 5.3%

2 visits - 5.3%

3 visits -13.3%

4 visits - 25.3%

5 visits - 30.7%

6+ visits - 12%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Montana will continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. Our FFY 2011 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels.

0 visits - 8%

1 visits - 5.3%

2 visits - 5.3%

3 visits -13.3%

4 visits - 25.3%

5 visits - 30.7%

6+ visits - 12%

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

## MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2006	reported: 2007
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
NCQA's 2005 HEDIS technical specifications	Standard HEDIS definition	HEDIS 2008
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guici. Specify.	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana.	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from
	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Silield of Molitalia.	CHIP claims.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007 HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator: 387	Numerator: 351	Numerator: 505
Denominator: 1151	Denominator: 1042	Denominator: 1316

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Rate: 33.6	Rate: 33.7	Rate: 38.4
	Additional notes on measure: Montana CHIP continues to educate families about the importance and availability of well-child visits.	Additional notes on measure: Montana CHIP continues to educate families about the importance and availability of well-child visits.

#### Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Well-child visits increased by approximately 5% between 2007 (33.7%) and 2008 (38.4%).

What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? We continue to focus on the importance of well-child visits. We mail "Healthy Start, Grow Smart" informational packets to families with newborns. The packets include brochures about a child's health care needs for each month of a child's life from birth through 12 months of age. Additionally, our contractor, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana, sent its August, 2008 "Keeping Well" well child information to all CHIP-enrolled families (PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHMENT A)

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Montana will continue to educate families about the importance and availability of well-child visits. Our FFY 2009 objective is to maintain performance at the 2008 level of 38.4%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana will continue to educate families about the importance and availability of well-child visits. Our FFY 2010 objective is to maintain performance at the 2008 level of 38.4%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Montana will continue to educate families about the importance and availability of well-child visits. Our FFY 2011 objective is to maintain performance at the 2008 level of 38.4%.

Explain how these objectives were set:

#### **Other Comments on Measure:**

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
∑ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2006	reported: 2007
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	$\square$ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
NCQA's 2005 HEDIS technical specifications	Standard HEDIS definition	HEDIS 2008
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from
CHIP claims data.	CHIP claims data.	CHIP claims data.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

## Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
<u>5-9 years</u>	<u>5-9 years</u>	5-9 years
Numerator: 21	Numerator: 17	Numerator: 38
Denominator: 22	Denominator: 19	Denominator: 38
Rate: 95.5	Rate: 89.5	Rate: 100
10-17 years	10-17 years	10-17 years
Numerator: 60	Numerator: 62	Numerator: 81
Denominator: 62	Denominator: 70	Denominator: 87
Rate: 96.8	Rate: 88.6	Rate: 93.1
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator: 81	Numerator: 79	Numerator: 119
Denominator: 84	Denominator: 89	Denominator: 125
Rate: 96.4	Rate: 88.8	Rate: 95.2
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Montana exceeded its performance objective documented on our FFY 2007 Annual Report. The percentage of children receiving appropriate ashtma medication increased by approximately 6% between 2007 and 2008.

What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? If parent(s)/guaridan(s) indicate on the CHIP application that one or more child(ren) have asthma, CHIP refers child(ren) to Children's Special Health Services for additional appropriate services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** Montana will continue providing appropriate medications for children and adolescents with ashtma. Our FFY 2009 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels

5-9 years = 100% 10-17 years = 93.1% combined 5-17 years = 95.2%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana will continue providing appropriate medications for children and adolescents with ashtma. Our FFY 2010 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels

5-9 years = 100% 10-17 years = 93.1% combined 5-17 years = 95.2%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Montana will continue providing appropriate medications for children and adolescents with ashtma. Our FFY 2011 objective is to maintain performance at 2008 levels

5-9 years = 100% 10-17 years = 93.1% combined 5-17 years = 95.2%

Explain how these objectives were set:

### **Other Comments on Measure:**

# MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guier. Explain.	Guier. Explain.	Guier. Expiani.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2006	reported: 2007
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Explain now HEDIS was modified.  ☐Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Explain now HEDIS was modified.  ☐Other. Explain:
	Standard HEDIS definition	HEDIS 2008
NCQA now allows qualifying visits to nurse practitioners and physician assistants to count toward the numerator even	Standard REDIS definition	HEDIS 2006
though they are not listed as primary care practitioners.		
Because the CHIP program is not a managed care plan,		
"safety-net providers" such as County Health Departments,		
Migrant Health Clinics, Tribal Health and Indian Health		
Service Clinics, etc. are also providers		
NCQA's 2005 HEDIS technical specifications		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana from	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana using	Data gathered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana using
CHIP claims data.	CHIP claims data.	CHIP claims data.

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

FFY	FFY 2006 FFY 2007		FFY 2008			
<b>HEDIS Performance Measur</b>	rement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with HEDIS/HED	IS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		
Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		
<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	
Numerator: 83	Numerator: 1305	Numerator: 113	Numerator: 1110	Numerator: 187	Numerator: 1496	
Denominator: 93	Denominator: 1576	Denominator: 120	Denominator: 1334	Denominator: 193	Denominator: 1778	
Rate: 89.2	Rate: 82.8	Rate: 94.2	Rate: 83.2	Rate: 96.9	Rate: 84.1	
25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	
Numerator: 1041	Numerator: 2127	Numerator: 957	Numerator: 1776	Numerator: 1255	Numerator: 2251	
Denominator: 1348	Denominator: 2433	Denominator: 1216	Denominator: 2054	Denominator: 1560	Denominator: 2567	
Rate: 77.2	Rate: 87.4	Rate: 78.7	Rate: 86.5	Rate: 80.4	Rate: 87.7	
Additional notes on measure: Montana has expanded primary care provider access beyond its borders. Since Montana is a "frontier" state, certain communities are better served by visiting medical providers or providers in contiguous counties. For example, families residing in Fairview, MT are closer to medical providers in Williston, ND and families residing in Noxon, MT are closer to medical providers in Sandpoint, ID.  Additional notes on measure: CHIP continues to educate families about the health care services available to them. The program also recruits providers statewide to ensure access to care. Providers such as county health departments, community health centers, Tribal Health and Indian Health Service facilities are integral parts of CHIP's provider network. Montana also permits children to access primary care providers beyond its borders (e.g., families residing in Noxon, MT are closer to medical providers in Sandpoint, ID).		in this section has been moved section for this measure.	Information previously reported to the Explanation of Progress			
Other Performance Measure	ment Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:		Measurement Data: Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another meth	nodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator: Numerator:		
Denominator:				Denominator: Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:	ditional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:			

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008

## **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Montana exceeded its Annual Performance Objective as documented on our 2007 Annual Report. The percentage of children with access to primary care practitioners increased by approximately 1%.

What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? CHIP continues to educate families about the health care services available to them. The program also recruits providers statewide to ensure access to care. Providers such as County Health Departments, Community Health Centers, Tribal Health and Indian Health Service facilities are integral parts of CHIP's provider network. Our contractor has increased provider participation.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Montana will continue to provide children with access to primary care practitioners. Montana will continue recruiting practitioners and maintain access at 2008 levels.

12 - 24 months = 96.9%

25 months - 6 years = 80.4%

7 - 11 years = 84.1%

12 - 19 years = 87.7%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana will continue to provide children with access to primary care practitioners. Montana will continue recruiting practitioners and maintain access at 2008 levels.

12 - 24 months = 96.9%

25 months - 6 years = 80.4%

7 - 11 years = 84.1%

12 - 19 years = 87.7%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Montana will continue to provide children with access to primary care practitioners. Montana will continue recruiting practitioners and maintain access at 2008 levels.

12 - 24 months = 96.9%

25 months - 6 years = 80.4%

7 - 11 years = 84.1%

12 - 19 years = 87.7%

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

## SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2007	FFY 2008	Percent change FFY 2007-2008
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	0	0	
Separate Child Health Program	20115	22679	12.75

Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

Montana CHIP conducted ongoing outreach. For example: 1) annual Back-to-School campaign; 2) site visits to seven American Indian reservations and five urban Indian clinics; and 3) continued outreach, attendance and participation at public health events, provider fairs and the statewide network of over 650 CHIP Community Partners (advocates).

In addition, enrollment continued to increase due to the increase of the FPL to 175% during FFY 2007.

The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2005-2007. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2008 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Below 200 Per	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Number Std. Error		Std. Error
1996 - 1998	32	5.2	12.0	2.0
1998 - 2000	32	5.2	13.0	2.0
2000 - 2002	20	3.6	8.8	1.5
2002 - 2004	23	3.8	10.0	1.6

2003 - 2005	24	4.0	10.7	1.7
2004 - 2006	21	4.0	9.5	1.6
2005 - 2007	19	4.0	8.5	1.5
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2005-2007	-40.6%	NA	-29.2%	NA

Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Montana has expanded its CHIP outreach activities in order to cover more children through CHIP, Medicaid and other appropriate health care programs.

Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

In addition to the number of children under 200% FPL who are uninsured, CPS data collected in 2008 indicated approximately 30,000 (13%) of all Montana children were uninsured.

We continue to be concerned about the limited sample size of the Current Population Survey (CPS).

Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

$\hfill \square$ Yes (please report your data in the table below)
No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages	
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

How does your State use this alternate data source in SCHIP program planning?

How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

No data is available regarding the number of children enrolled in Medicaid as a result of CHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification. Applications for Montana CHIP are screened for possible Medicaid eligibility. If a child is potentially eligible for Medicaid, the family is notified and the application is sent to the child's local Office of Public Assistance for a Medicaid eligibility determination.

## SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your SCHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

Reducing the number of uninsured children

SCHIP enrollment

Medicaid enrollment

Increasing access to care

Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2006 and FFY 2008) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2008).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not\_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of SCHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

## Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

<u>New/revised:</u> Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

<u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.

<u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

## **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2008.

<u>Final:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2008.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

## **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2008). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

## **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

## **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

## Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

### **Performance Measurement Data:**

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

## **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality improvement activity described should involve the SCHIP program, benefit SCHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. SCHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2009, 2010 and 2011. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2006 through 2008), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the SCHIP state plan.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Decrease the proportion of children at or below 150% of FPL	Continue decreasing the number of uninsured children in low	Continue decreasing the number of uninsured children in low
who are uninsured.	to moderate income families. Our objective to is decrease the	to moderate income families by increasing enrollment in
	number of uninsured children by 5%.	publically funded programs by 5%.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: 2006	reported:	reported: 2008
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Total number of children enrolled in CHIP, Medicaid, the	Total number of children enrolled in CHIP, Medicaid, the	Total number of children enrolled in CHIP, Medicaid, Health
Caring Program, the Montana University System Dependent	Montana University System Dependent Children Premium	Insurance for the Montana University System Dependent
Children Premium Waiver pilot project and Insure Montana in 2006 (80,616). Information was derived from each	Waiver pilot project and Insure Montana in FFY 2007 (83,007). Information was derived from each program's data	Children Waiver Program, and Insure Montana in FFY 2008 (84,865). Information was derived from each program's data
program's data system.	system.	system.
program's data system.	system.	system.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Total number of children enrolled	Definition of denominator: Total number of children enrolled	Definition of denominator: Total number of children enrolled
in CHIP (17,880), Medicaid (61,498), the Caring Program	in FFY 2007 (83,007). Enrollment included CHIP (20,596),	in FFY 2008 (84,865). Enrollment included CHIP (22,679),
(436), (100) and Health Insurance for the Montana University	Medicaid (60,896), Health Insurance for the Montana	Medicaid (60,320), Health Insurance for Motana University
System Dependent Children Premium Waiver pilot project	University System Dependent Children Premium Waiver	System Dependent Children Premium Waiver Program (443),
(275) and Insure Montana (427) in 2006 (80,516).	pilot project (294), and Insure Montana (1,221).	and Insure Montana (1,423).
Definition of numerator: The difference between the unique	Definition of numerator: The difference between the	Definition of numerator: The difference between the
number of children who were enrolled in CHIP, Medicaid,	unduplicated number of children who were enrolled in the	unduplicated number of children who were enrolled in the
and the Caring Program in 2005 (76,130) and the unique number of children enrolled in CHIP, Medicaid, the Caring	above programs in FFY 2006 (80,516) and the unduplicated number of children enrolled in the same program in FFY	above programs in FFY 2008 (84,865). 84,865 - 83,007 = 1,858 children
Program, and Montana University System Dependent	number of children enrolled in the same program in FFY $2007 (83,007)$ . $83,007 - 80,516 = 2,491$ children.	1,030 CHILDEN
Children Premium Waiver pilot project, and Insure Montana	2007 (03,007). 03,007 - 00,310 – 2,431 ciliulell.	
in 2006 (80,516). $80,516 - 76,130 = 4,386$ children.		
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007	Year of Data: 2008
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
The difference between the number of children insured FFY 2005 and in FFY 2006.	The difference between the number of Montana children insured in FFY 2006 and FFY 2007.	The difference between the number of Montana children insured in FFY 2007 and FFY 2008.
Numerator: 4386 Denominator: 80516 Rate: 5.4	Numerator: 2491 Denominator: 83007 Rate: 3	Numerator: 1858 Denominator: 84865 Rate: 2.2
Additional notes on measure: The Montana University System Dependent Children Premium Waiver pilot project and Insure Montana are new programs developed to assist low-income families obtain health insurance coverage.	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Montana's 2007 objective was to reduce the number of uninsured low to moderate income children by 5%. FFY 2007 reduction is 3%.	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Montana's FFY 2008 objective was to reduce the number of uninsured children at or below 175% of FPL by 5%. The FFY 2008 reduction is at 2.2%. Medicaid experienced a reduction in enrollment of children between the ages of 0 - 18 years of age during FFY 2008.
What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? FFY 2007 reduction is at 3% due in large part to an increase in Montana's income guidelines from 150% of the federal poverty levels to 175% of the federal poverty levels plus extensive outreach activities.	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Montana's FFY 2007 objective is to continue decreasing the proportion of children at or below 150% of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective to is continue at the FFY 2006 level of 5.4%.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Montana's FFY 2008 objective is to continue decreasing the proportion of children at or below 150% of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective to is continue at the FFY 2006 level of 5.4%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:  Montana's FFY 2008 objective is to continue decreasing the proportion of children at or below 175% of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective is to continue the reduction at 5%.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Montana's FFY 2009 objective is to continue decreasing the proportion of children at or below 175% of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective is to continue the reduction at 5%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Montana's FFY 2009 objective is to continue decreasing the proportion of children at or below 175% of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective is to continue the reduction at 2.2%.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana's FFY 2010 objective is to implement the Healthy Montana Kids Plan (HMK) effective 10/1/2009. Montana plans to enroll 29,187 children in HMK in addition to the children enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid in FFY 2009.

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Montana's FFY 2009 objective is to continue	Montana's FFY 2010 objective is to continue	Montana's FFY 2011 objective is to provide HMK
decreasing the proportion of children at or below 150%	decreasing the proportion of children at or below 175%	coverage to 29,187 children in addition to those
of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective to is continue	of FPL who are uninsured. Our objective is to continue	children enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid in FFY 2009.
at the FFY 2006 level of 5.4%.	the reduction at 5%.	
		Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives are
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were	Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives are	based on FFY 2007 achievements. FFY 2007 increase
set based on trends in CHIP and other health care	based on FFY 2006 achievements. FFY 2007 increase	was not expected to be repeated unless we implement
coverage enrollment.	is not expected to be repeated unless we implement	another change in CHIP eligibility level (e.g. 175% of
	another change in CHIP eligibility level (e.g., 175% of	FPL to 200% of FPL). Insure Montana experienced
	FPL to 200% of FPL). The Dependent Children	frozen enrollment and the impact on future enrollment
	Premium Pilot Project is a two year project and future	is undetermined at this time.
	funding is uncertain. Insure Montana experienced a	
	substantial premium increase for the next year and the	
	impact on enrollment is undetermined at this time.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Montana CHIP previously	Other Comments on Measure: Enrollment is dependent on
	included the Caring Program and Children's Special Health	state and federal funding.
	Services as insurance programs. They are not insurance	
	programs. These programs are excluded in the FFY 2007	
	Annual Report as an adjustment.	

## Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
TV CD /	T. CD	TV CD /
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2005 Annual Report?	2006 Annual Report?	2007 Annual Report?
•	•	•

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

#### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Outer. Specify.	Other. Specify.	Outer. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being ineasured.	Described what is being ineasured.	Described what is being ineasured.
A	<b>X</b>	A
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Rate.	Rate.	Rate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?
*	*	*

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
		•
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

#### **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Enroll approximately 13,900 children monthly who are at or	During FFY 2007, enroll an average of 13,900 children	During FFY 2008 enroll an average of 15,500 children
below 150% FPL during FFY 2006	monthly who are at or below 150%.	monthly who were at or below 175% FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2007	reported: 2008
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
CHIP Data System		
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Average monthly enrollment	Definition of denominator: The average monthly enrollment	Definition of denominator: The average monthly enrollment
during FFY 2005 (11,022)	during FFY 2007 (13,531).	during FFY 2008 (16,130).
during 11 1 2003 (11,022)	Oct '06 - 13,182	Oct '07 - 15,228
Definition of numerator: The difference between the average	Nov '06 - 13,220	Nov '07 - 15,563
monthly enrollment during FFY 2005 (11,022) and the	Dec '06 - 13,112	Dec '07 - 15,700
average monthly enrollment during FFY 2006 (11,842).	Jan '07 - 13,135	Jan '08 - 15,798
	Feb '07 - 13,130	Feb '08 - 16,003
	Mar '07 - 13,291	Mar '08 - 16,096
	Apr '07 - 13,363	Apr '08 - 16,188
	May '07 - 13,378	May '08 - 16,412
	Jun '07 - 13,289	Jun '08 - 16,576
	Jul '07 - 14,034	Jul '08 - 16,559
	Aug '07 - 14,382	Aug '08 - 16,678
	Sep '07 - 14,860	Sep '08 - 16,762
	Definition of numerator: Montana's goal for the average	Definition of numerator: Montana's goal for the average
	number of children enrolled on a monthly basis.	number of children on a monthly basis.
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2008

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Percentage of increased enrollment between FFY 2005 and FFY 2006.	Percentage of increased enrollment between FFY 2006 and FFY 2007.	Percentage of increased enrollment between FFY 2007 and FFY 2008.
Numerator: 820	Numerator: 1689	Numerator: 630
Denominator: 11022	Denominator: 13531	Denominator: 15500
Rate: 7.4	Rate: 12.5	Rate: 4.1
Additional notes on measure: Montana anticipated enrolling more children in CHIP because of increased funding. However, the Medicaid resource limit for children's poverty level coverage groups increased from \$3,000 to \$15,000 effective July 1, 2006. The increased resource limit meant, despite increased CHIP applications, there was a greater number of referrals to the Medicaid Program.	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Montana's average monthly enrollment increased by 2,599 (this represents a 19.2% increase) between FFY 2007 and FFY 2008.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHID appropriate helps of the SCHID appropriate helps.	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Montana did not meets its 2007 average monthly enrollment objective of 13,900 children.  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Montana exceeded its FFY 2008 Annual Performance Objective documented on its FFY 2007 Annual Report. This goal was set at an average monthly enrollment of 15,500 children who are at or below 175% FPL. Montana had an average monthly enrollment of 16,130 children who were at or below 175% FPL for an increase rate of 4.1% above its goal. What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Montana continues to provide statewide outreach activities.	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Montana continues to provide extensive statewide outreach activities.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Enroll approximately 13,900 children monthly who are at or below 150% FPL during FFY 2007  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Enroll approximately 13,900 children monthly who are at or	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:</b> During FFY 2008, enroll an average of 15,500 children monthly who are at or below 175%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: During FFY 2009, enroll an average of 16,130 children monthly who are at or below 175% FPL.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: See Explanation below for this objective.
below 150% FPL during FFY 2008	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:</b> During FFY 2009, enroll an average of 16,000 children monthly who are at or below 175%.	<u>,</u>

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Enroll	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: During	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: See
approximately 13,900 children monthly who are at or	FFY 2010, enroll an average of 16,000 children monthly	explanation below for this objective.
below 150% FPL during FFY 2009	who are at or below 175%.	
		Explain how these objectives were set: Enrollment is
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were	Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were	dependent on state and federal funding.
set based on available state and federal funding.	set based on available state and federal funding and	
	legislative approval from Montana's 2007 state	
	legislature to increase CHIP income guidelines to 175%	
	FPL.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Performance measure data	
	reported for FFY 2007 was revised. Data previously reported	
	compared the actual monthly enrollment with the monthly	
	enrollment goal for FFY 2007.	

# **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Increase the reapplication rate to maintain continuous health	Increase the reapplication rate to maintain continuous health	Increase the reapplication rate by .05% to maintain continous
coverage for CHIP eligible enrollees.	coverage for CHIP eligible children.	health coverage for CHIP eligible children.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
☑ Continuing.	Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	Montana has been unable to provide this information from its	
	data system since 2004.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2007	reported: 2008
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
CHIP Data System	D.C. 44 CD L.4 L. L. L. L. L. M	D. 6'. '4' 6 D 1. 4' T 1. 1. 1' 41. 3 M
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Applicants that were enrolled at	Definition of denominator: A total of 6,157 renewal	Definition of denominator: A total of 7,617 renewal
the end of 12 months and needed to reapply for coverage	applications were sent to families with enrolled children.	applications were sent to families with enrolled children.
and one of 12 months and needed to reapply for coverage	applications were sent to families with enforce children.	applications were sent to families with emoned emicron.
Definition of numerator: Applicants that reapplied for CHIP	Definition of numerator: A total of 5,254 renewal	Definition of numerator: A total of 6,492 renewal
rrrr	applications were returned to CHIP.	applications were returned to CHIP.
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007	Year of Data: 2008
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Due to data system limitations, the rate of reapplication for	The rate of CHIP reapplication is being measured.	
FFY 2006 can not be reported at this time		
	Numerator: 5254	Numerator: 6492
Numerator:	Denominator: 6157	Denominator: 7617
Denominator:	Rate: 85.3	Rate: 85.2
Rate:		
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Additional notes on measure: Montana continues to provide		
three separate notifications to CHIP families prior to		
disenrolling children because a renewal application was not		
received.		

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Was not an objective in our 2006 Annual Report.	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report? Reapplication rate is essentially unchanged from FFY 2007 (85.3%) to FFY 2008 (85.2%).
What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Improve our current data system so we are able to report reapplication rate in FFY 07. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Improve our current data system so we are able to report reapplication rate in FFY 08. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Improve our current data system so we are able to report reapplication rate in FFY 09.  Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:  Montana's 2008 objective is to maintain its reapplication rate at its 2007 level of 85.3%  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Montana's 2009 objective is to maintain its reapplication rate at its 2007 level of 85.3%  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Montana's 2010 objective is to maintain its reapplication rate at its 2007 level of 85.3%  Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were set based on Montana's 2007 reapplication rate.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Montana's 2009 objective is to increase its reapplication rate by .05%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Montana's 2010 objective is to increase its reapplication rate by .05%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Montana's 2011 objective is to increase its reapplication rate by .05%.  Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were set based on Montana's FFY 2008 reapplication rate.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numeron	N
	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
A Danfarra Ohia dina fan EEW 2007.	A	A
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Amilian Ferrormance Objective for FF 1 2009:	Annual Feriormance Objective for FF1 2010:	Annual Feriormance Objective for FF 1 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Performance measure data	Other Comments on Measure:
	reported for FFY 2007 was revised. Data previously reported	
	compared the actual monthly enrollment with the monthly	
	enrollment goal for FFY 2007	

## **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
N/A	Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all applications	
	with a potentially eligible child for a Medicaid eligibility	
	determination.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	CHIP has screened all applications for children who may be	
	potentially eligible for Medicaid.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2007	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
reported:  Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. speedy.	Guier. speegy.	Guier. Speedy.
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Number of applications.	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Number of applications screened for	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Medicaid.	Definition of numerator.
	Wedicaid.	
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2007	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
	Application screening for potential Medicaid eligibility.	
Numerator:	Numerator: 11315	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator: 11315	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate: 100	Rate:
A 14'4'14	Additional nation of the CHID and and 4 007, 131, 4	A 44'4'14
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: CHIP referred 4,997 children to the Offices of Public Assistance. A larger number of children	Additional notes on measure:
	were referred for a Medicaid eligibility determination during	
	FFY 2007 because Montana's Medicaid program increased its	
	asset limit from \$3,000 to \$15,000 for poverty children	
	coverage groups.	
	LOVETAGE GIUUPS.	I

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Montana screened 100% of its CHIP applications and referred all applications with a child who was potentially eligible for a Medicaid determination.	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all applications with a potentially eligible child for a Medicaid eligibility determination.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all applications with a potentially eligible child for a Medicaid eligibility determination.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all applications with a child who is potentially eligible for Medicaid for a Medicaid determination.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all applications with a child who is potentially eligible for Medicaid for a Medicaid determination.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all	Screen 100% of CHIP applications and refer all	Ů
applications with a potentially eligible child for a Medicaid eligibility determination.	applications with a child who is potentially eligible for Medicaid for a Medicaid determination.	Explain how these objectives were set:
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives based on CHIP eligibility determination procedures.	Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were based on CHIP eligibility determination procedures.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A	Provide CHIP-related information and/or training to staff	` '
	from Offices of Public Assistance (OPA) by attending their	
	annual Montana Eligibility Workers Association (MEWA)	
	meeting or the quarterly Human and Community Services	
	Division's Joint Management meeting.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		In the future, information about OPA training will be
		reported in the "Coordination Between SCHIP and Medicaid"
		section of this report.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2007	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	Contacts CHIP made with local Offices of Public Assistance	
	(OPAs). Since CHIP and OPAs refer children between CHIP	
	and Medicaid, CHIP strives to maintain good rapport with	
	OPA staff. CHIP believes establishing and maintaining	
	rapport is contingent in large part upon educating OPA staff	
	about CHIP.	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Ital Ul Data.	icai di Data.	i cai vi Data.

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
N	Numerator:	Numerator:
Numerator: Denominator:	Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rate:	Rate:	Kate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2078: Provide CHIP-related information and/or training to	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
staff from Offices of Public Assistance by attending	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
their annual Montana Eligibility Workers Association	-	
(MEWA) meeting or the quarterly Human and	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Community Services Division's Joint Management		
meeting.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Provide CHIP-related information and/or training to		
staff from Offices of Public Assistance by attending		
their annual Montana Eligibility Workers Association		
(MEWA) meeting or the quarterly Human and		
Community Services Division's Joint Management		
meeting.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Provide CHIP-related information and/or training to		
staff from Offices of Public Assistance by attending		
their annual Montana Eligibility Workers Association		
(MEWA) meeting or the quarterly Human and		
Community Services Division's Joint Management		
meeting.		
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were		
based on training provided during FFY 2006.		
Other Comments on Measure: Providing CHIP-related	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:
information to Offices of Public Assistance (OPA) staff		
ensures staff who determine Medicaid eligibility also have a		
basic knowledge of CHIP. This information will assist OPA		
and CHIP staff in providing health care coverage to eligible		
children and making referrals to the appropriate program.		

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** 

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #3 (Describe) N/A	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2006

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase the number of medical and dental providers and	Maintain access to health care providers at FFY 2006 levels.	Maintain access to 4,613 health care providers.
facilities available to provide care to CHIP enrollees.	rialitati access to hearth care providers at 11 1 2000 levels.	Traintain access to 4,015 health care providers.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: 2006	reported: 2007	reported: 2008
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :
CHIP medical and dental providers and facilities who provide	CHIP medical and dental providers who provide services to	CHIP medical and dental providers who provide services to
services to CHIP enrollees.	CHIP members.	CHIP members.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana and CHIP data systems.	Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana, ACS, and CHIP data	Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Montana, ACS, and CHIP data
Provider enrollment for fiscal year end 2006 was compared to	system. Provider enrollment for fiscal year end 2007 was	system. Provider enrollment for FFY 2008 was compared to
fiscal year end 2005.	compared to fiscal year end 2006.	FFY 2007.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Total CHIP providers enrolled for	Definition of numerator: The total number of CHIP providers	Definition of numerator: The total number of CHIP providers
fiscal year end 2006: 3,917 medical, allied and hospital	enrolled in FFY 2006 and the total number of CHIP providers	enrolled in FFY 2007 and the total number of CHIP providers
providers plus 286 dentists and oral surgeons.	enrolled in FFY 2007. (4,439 in FFY 2007 and 4,203 FFY	enrolled in FFY 2008. (4,439 in FFY 2007 and 4,613 in FFY
L	2006 = 236 more providers in 2007).	2008). There was an increase of 174 providers during FFY
	FFY 2007 CHIP providers included 1,782 physicians, 2,306	2008. FFY 2008 CHIP providers included 1,809 physicians,
	allied health providers, 59 hospitals and 292 dentists.	2,460 allied health providers, 59 hospitals and 321 dentists.
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007	Year of Data: 2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
(3 1	(37	(3 1
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 4203	Numerator: 4439	Numerator: 4613
Denominator: 3943	Denominator: 4203	Denominator: 4439
Rate: 106.6	Rate: 105.6	Rate: 103.9
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Measure of increased provider	Additional notes on measure: Measure of increased provider
	enrollment.	enrollment.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
Ham 1:1 1:2	H	TT
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? CHIP exceeded the FFY 2007	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2005 Annuai Report?	annual performance objective. There were 236	<b>2007 Annual Report?</b> CHIP exceeded the FFY 2008 annual performance objective. There were 174
What quality improvement activities that involve the	additional providers in FFY 2007.	additional providers in FFY 2008.
SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	additional providers in FF 1 2007.	additional providers in FF 1 2008.
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
improve your results for this measure, or make	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help	SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help
progress toward your goal?	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
progress toward your goar.	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	progress toward your goar.	progress toward your godi.
reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
reporting or the union	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	reporting of the dutui	reporting of the dutur
FFY 2006 levels.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	Maintain access to 4,613 health care providers, FFY
Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	FFY 07 levels	2008 levels
FFY 2006 levels.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	Maintain access to 4,613 health care providers, FFY
Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	FFY 07 levels	2008 levels
FFY 2006 levels.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
	Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	Maintain access to 4,613 health care providers, FFY
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were	FFY 07 levels	2008 levels
set based on trends in enrollment and utilization of		
health care services.	Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were	Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were
	set based on trends in enrollment and utilitzation of health	set based on trends in enrollment and utilization of health
	care services.	care services.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #2 (Describe) N/A	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #3 (Describe) N/A	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #1 (Describe) Increase the number of medical and dental providers and facilities available to provide care to CHIP enrollees.	Goal #1 (Describe) FFY 2007 goals for this stratum were actually objectives for "increasing access to care." Objectives and related performance measures have been entered under "increasing access to care" section.	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain: CHIP medical and dental providers who provide services to CHIP enrollees	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana and CHIP data systems. Provider enrollment for fiscal year end 2006 was compared to fiscal year end 2005.	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator: Total CHIP providers enrolled for fiscal year end 2006 (3,917 medical, allied and hospital providers plus 286 dentists/oral surgeons)	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data:	Year of Data:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
N	N	N
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 4203	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 3943	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 106.6	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Maintain access to preventive health care providers at	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
FFY 2006 levels: (3,917 medical, allied and hospital	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
providers plus 286 dentists/oral surgeons)		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Maintain access to preventive health care providers at		
FFY 2006 levels: (3,917 medical, allied and hospital		
providers plus 286 dentists/oral surgeons)		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Maintain access to preventive health care providers at		
FFY 2006 levels: (3,917 medical, allied and hospital		
providers plus 286 dentists/oral surgeons)		
Explain how these objectives were set: Objectives were		
set based on trends in enrollment and utilization of		
health care services.		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A	N/A	· · ·
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:  HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:  Explain how HEDIS was modified:  Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  Administrative (claims data).  Hybrid (claims and medical record data).  Survey data. Specify:  Other. Specify:	Data Source:  Administrative (claims data).  Hybrid (claims and medical record data).  Survey data. Specify:  Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A	N/A	· · ·
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☑ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:  HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:  Explain how HEDIS was modified:  Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  Administrative (claims data).  Hybrid (claims and medical record data).  Survey data. Specify:  Other. Specify:	Data Source:  Administrative (claims data).  Hybrid (claims and medical record data).  Survey data. Specify:  Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2006	FFY 2007	FFY 2008
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2008 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2007 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the SCHIP program and benefit SCHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:  Montana is researching the possibility of isolating immunization data from well-child data. We are holding an actual goal for this stratum in abeyance until it is known whether immunization data can be isolated.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:  Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

On a guarterly basis, Montana reviews the total number of CHIP dental, physician, allied health care and hospital providers to evaluate network adequacy and access to care. If there is a significant change, we review changes to assure no region of the state has an inadequate provider network.

Our third party administrator, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana (BCBSMT) publishes a CHIP Provider Network report listing the number of physicians, allied providers and hospitals in each of Montana's 56 counties. It should be noted Montana is a frontier state with many areas having no or limited local access to health care for any payer. BCBSMT provides quarterly HealthCare Management reports that summarize CHIP claims costs and utilization of medical and pharmacy services along with quality care indicators.

CHIP and BCBSMT staff meet monthly to discuss program changes, successes and challenges, and member access to quality care.

CHIP monitors and evaluates the utilization of eyeglasses, dental and Extended Mental Health services. These services were provided on a fee-for-service basis and were not part of the BCBSMT contract.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

CHIP continues with the measure listed above. We will survey CHIP families about access to care and satisfaction with health care services.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

Montana CHIP did not conduct focused quality studies in FFY 2008.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

Please see attached documents:

- A. August, 2008 "Keeping Well" article (ATTACHMENT A)
- B. Enrollment
  - 1. New Children Enrolled in CHIP 2004 Current (ATTACHMENT B)
  - 2. CHIP Monthly Enrollment Comparing SFY08 to SFY07 (ATTACHMENT C)
- C. Provider Network Map (ATTACHMENT D)
- D. CHIP Basic Dental Program spreadsheet (ATTACHMENT E)

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

#### SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

#### Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### **OUTREACH**

How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

Montana CHIP conducted a statewide media campaign supporting the release of the 2008 FPL income guidelines. Articles were placed in daily, rural and weekly Montana and Tribal newspapers.

CHIP continues to develop its statewide network of CHIP Community Partners. This network includes health care providers and related agencies to increase CHIP awareness by distributing CHIP materials in their communities. To date, over 650 distribution points have been established across the state.

In August and September, 2008 CHIP conducted a comprehensive statewide Back-to-School campaign. CHIP distributed over 35,000 postcards to over 150 participating Montana schools.

Montana CHIP participated in 24 Public Health Fairs and Provider Conferences throughout Montana during FFY 2008.

What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

The media campaigns plus the statewide Back-to-School campaign proved to be the most effective activities during FFY 2008. Effectiveness was measured by the number of new CHIP enrollees.

Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500]

We consider the statewide media campaign and the statewide Back-to-School campaign to be "best practices".

Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

Montana has seven American Indian reservations within its borders. Since 2006, Montana CHIP has focused on Native American communities. Between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008, 2,053 Native American children were enrolled in CHIP, or 9% of total enrollment. Outreach visits to Native American Tribes during FFY 2008 include: Blackfeet; Chippewa-Cree; Confederated Salish & Kootenai; Gros Venture & Assiniboine.

What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP have been enrolled in those programs? (Identify the data source used). [7500]

The number of unduplicated Medicaid children ever enrolled during FFY 2008 was 60,320 and the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP during FFY 2008 was 22,679 (Source: Montana Medicaid and CHIP administrative data.)

The income eligibility guideline for Medicaid is 133% FPL for children 0-5 years of age and 100% FPL for children 6-18 years of age. The income eligibility guideline for CHIP is 175% FPL. We are unable to respond to this question regarding the 200% Federal Poverty Level.

# SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

All states should answer the following question

<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>If yes, indicate if you have the following policies:</li> <li>☑ Imposing waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in SCHIP</li> <li>☐ Imposing cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage</li> <li>☒ Monitoring health insurance status at the time of application</li> </ul>	Do you have substitution prevention policies in place?
<ul> <li>✓ Imposing waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in SCHIP</li> <li>✓ Imposing cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage</li> </ul>	
Other, please explain [7500]	<ul> <li>✓ Imposing waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in SCHIP</li> <li>☐ Imposing cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage</li> <li>✓ Monitoring health insurance status at the time of application</li> </ul>

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states should also answer this question if you have a point at which the state will implement or modify a current substitution policy should substitution levels become unacceptable.

Identify the trigger mechanism or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted or modified if you currently have a substitution policy. [7500]

The substitution prevention policy applies to all applicants regardless of income.

#### All States must complete the following 3 questions

Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. [7500]

Montana's CHIP application asks families if any child in the home has been covered by health insurance (individual or group) during the month prior to application for CHIP. The applicant must give the name of prior insurance, the end date of prior insurance and the reason coverage ended. Individuals whose coverage ended for a reason that was not one of CHIP's exceptions have enrollment delayed for one month.

Montana CHIP's third party administrator, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana (BCBSMT), compares the CHIP monthly enrollment file with the claims files for their other commercial health plans. BCBSMT is Montana's largest health insurance carrier. BCBSMT notifies CHIP if members have other health insurance. CHIP also follows-up with families if health care providers or other entities notify CHIP that a child has other insurance coverage. If CHIP determines a child has insurance in addition to CHIP coverage, the child is disenrolled from CHIP.

The state does ask the applicant why he/she dropped private coverage. Currently, our data base captures only the reasons which result in a exception to the one month delay period.

At the time of application, what percent of SCHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) \* 100] and what percent of applicants are found to have other insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) \* 100]? Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [50]

2.9% - Medicaid & 7.6% - Other Ins\*\*see narrative

Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # applicants who would have to complete a waiting period)\*100]? [50]

.06% \*\* see narrative at the end of this section

Of those found to have other, private insurance, what percent must remain uninsured until the waiting period is met [(# applicants who must complete waiting period/total # applicants who would have to complete a waiting period)\*100]? [50]

2.8% \*\* see narrative at the end of this section

Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP (i.e., (# applicants who drop coverage/total # applicants) \* 100)? [7500]

We do not have data about the percent of applications who drop group health insurance to enroll in Montana CHIP.

#### **COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID**

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain. [7500]

CHIP and Medicaid do not have the same redetermination procedures. Medicaid requires documentation of identity, household income, resources and other pertinent changes. CHIP accepts self-declaration of identity, household composition and income, and there is no asset test.

To expedite the renewal process, CHIP pre-populates the family's renewal application with information from the family's previous application (e.g. names birth dates, ID numbers, etc). Families must update income information and note other changes (e.g., family members who have moved in or out, etc), sign, date and return the completed application to CHIP before it can be determined whether the family continues to qualify for coverage. Medicaid does not provide prepopulated applications to families whose Medicaid eligibility needs to be redetermined.

CHIP works closely with Offices of Public Assistance (OPAs). Since CHIP and OPAs refer children between CHIP and Medicaid, CHIP strives to maintain good rapport with OPA staff. CHIP believes establishing and maintaining rapport is contingent in large part upon educating OPA staff about CHIP.

Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain. [7500]

When a child's eligibility status changes the child receives notice of the change, a new ID card and Member Handbook for the appropriate program.

When a chid's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to CHIP:

- 1. The family may be responsible for co-payments depending on their family income.
- The child will have a limited package of benefits and a \$1 million lifetime benefit.
- 3. The network of providers may be different and may necessitate a change in the child's provider(s).
- 4. The child will receive 12 months of continous coverage.

When a child's eligibility status changes from CHIP to Medicaid:

- 1. The family is not responsible for co-payments.
- 2. The child is entitled to all medically necessary services.
- 3. The network of providers may necessitate a change in the child's provider(s).

- 4. The family must report all changes that affect income and continous coverage is not guaranteed.
- 5. Lower Medicaid remibursement may affect access to care (e.g. dental services).

Are the same delivery systems (such as managed care or fee for service,) or provider networks used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain. [7500]

CHIP and Medicaid use a fee for service delivery system. The provider networks are not the same for Medicaid and CHIP although providers are frequently enrolled in both programs' networks. CHIP's third party administrator (TPA), Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana (BCBSMT) provides enrollment and provider support for medical, allied and hospital providers.

CHIP also contracts with Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (ACS) to enroll and support dental, eyeglasses and Extended Mental Health providers. For eyeglasses, CHIP, Medicaid and the Montana Department of Corrections have a bulk-purchasing contract with Walman Optical, Inc. Medicaid contracts with ACS to enroll and support Medicaid providers. Both CHIP and Medicaid program staff provide support for their respective provider networks and delivery systems.

For states that do not use a joint application, please describe the screen and enroll process. [7500].

Every new and renewal application received by Montana CHIP is screened to determine whether a child is potentially eligible for Medicaid. Children who are potentially eligible for Medicaid are referred to their local Office of Public Assistance (OPA) for a Medicaid eligibility determination. Both the family and the OPA are notified of the referral. CHIP monitors the Medicaid eligibility determination using the electronic referral process.

#### **ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION**

What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested. Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers  $\boxtimes$ Sends renewal reminder notices to all families How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? Three (3) At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500] Families receive the following renewal mailings: 1) 9 1/2 months after eligibility was determined, the family receives a mailing advising that it's nearly time to renew their application and they should watch for the renewal application to arrive in the mail; 2) 10 months after eligibility was determined, a pre-populated renewal application is mailed; and 3) 11 months after eligibility was determined, a reminder notice is mailed if the renewal application has not been received by CHIP.  $\boxtimes$ Sends targeted mailings to selected populations Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups) [500] When FPL is adjusted each year, Montana CHIP sends letters to families who were not eligible for CHIP during previous year but may be eligible under the newest FPL; and when children with a serious emotional disease currently enrolled in the program need an updated clinical assessment to determine ongoing eligibility. Holds information campaigns

Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

 $\boxtimes$ 

Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application) [500]

Families are provided a four page partially pre-populated renewal application. The family may make changes to the information (e.g. family composition, school attendance, etc.) and enters current income. The family must indicate if countable assets exceed Montana Medicaid's resource limit of \$15,000. Applications for children potentially eligible for Medicaid are forwarded to Offices of Public Assistance.

Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe: [500]
Other, please explain: [500]

Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

Providing families with pre-populated renewal applications that are short and easy to complete appears to encourage timely submission of renewal applications.

What percentage of children in the program are retained in the program at redetermination (i.e., (# children retained/total # children up for redetermination) \* 100)? What percentage of children in the program are disenrolled at redetermination (i.e., (# children disenrolled/total # children up for redetermination) \* 100) [500]

CHIP FFY 2008 data indicates 7,617 renewal applications were mailed to families. Families returned 6,492 renewal applications for a reapplication rate of 85.2%.

Of the 6,492 returned applications 5,766 applications had children re-enrolled in CHIP. The percentage of applications with reenrolled children was 88.9% and the percent of applications with children who were disenrolled was 11.1%.

Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)

$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No
	N/A

When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted? [7500]

The last report for FFY 2008 was completed in September, 2008. The total number of children disenrolled during FFY 2008 was 4,033.

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments. [7500].

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis- enrollees	Obtain public private covers	е	Remain uninsur		Age-out		Move to geograp area		Other (s	pecify)
	Num ber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent

4033	2274	56	0	0	478	12	485	12	796	20

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information. Include the time period reflected in the data (e.g., calendar year, fiscal year, one month, etc.) [7500].

Data obtained through Montana's CHIP data system for October, 2007 through September, 2008. Data reported for FFY 2008 is the product of a refined query and may vary from data reported on the FFY 2007 Annual Report.

#### COST SHARING

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

No. Montana CHIP does not require families to pay premiums or enrollment fees.

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

No.

If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

Montana CHIP has not increased or decreased cost sharing in FFY 2008.

# EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE SCHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI

**DEMONSTRATION** Does your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds? Yes, please answer questions below. No. skip to Program Integrity subsection. Children Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority. Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan ☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration Adults Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority. Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan

Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)

SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration

Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration

Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

<ul><li>☐ Parents and Caretaker Relatives</li><li>☐ Childless Adults</li><li>☐ Pregnant Women</li></ul>
Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]
What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? [7500]
Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing? [7500]
Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program? [7500]
8. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
<ol> <li>Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your employer sponsored insurance program (including premium assistance program). Discuss how was this measured? [7500]</li> </ol>
10. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
11. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
12. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
13. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on

- 14. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. [7500]
- 15. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the beneficiary under your ESI program:

State:	
Employer:	
Employee:	

- 16. If you offer a premium assistance program, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution? [500]
- 17. Do you have a cost effectiveness test that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive coverage (e.g., the state's share of a premium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost of covering the applicant under SCHIP or Medicaid)? [7500]
- 18. Is there a required period of uninsurance before enrolling in your program? If yes, what is the period of uninsurance? [500]
- 19. Do you have a waiting list for your program? Can you cap enrollment for your program? [500]

### PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for:

- (1) prevention,
- (2) investigation,
- (3) referral of cases of fraud and abuse?

Please explain: [7500]

- 1) Prevention As Montana's TPA, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Montana (BCBSMT) Special Investigations Unit (SIU) coordinates an internal and external fraud awareness program. The program involves employee training through face-to-face sessions, as well as written notifications in internal publications. The external awareness program involves publication of BCBSMT's tollfree fraud hotline on all Explanations of Benefits, maintenance of an anti-fraud website, anti-fraud training presentations to law enforcement groups, regulatory agencies, civilian groups, written articles in BCBSMT publications, and regulatory industry publications. BCBSMT also attempts to publicize all successful cases in the local media.
- Investigation BCBSMT investigations are pursued by trained investigators and agencies using traditional methods, including (but not limited to) document analysis, data mining, interviews, questionnaires, medical records review, surveillance, etc. If fraudulent activity is confirmed, the case is reported to the Montana Insurance Department (pursuant to Montana Code Annotated 33-1-1205) and/or other applicable law enforcement or regulatory agencies including state medical boards, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, Federal Bureau of Investigation,

Health and Human Services/Office of Inspector General, Office of Public Assistance/Office of Attorney General, Drug Enforcement Agency, and other state and local law enforcement agencies. BCBSMT works with these agencies in the continued investigation and prosecution of each case.

3) Referral of cases of fraud & abuse - BCBSMT reports all cases to the Montana Insurance Department, as well as any other applicable law enforcement or regulatory agency.

		es not have a <u>written</u> plan, do managed nealth care plans with which your program e <u>written</u> plans?
	☐ Yes	
	☐ No	
	Please Explai	n: <b>[500]</b>
re		period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, buse in the following areas:
	Provider Creden	tialing
	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Provider Billing	
	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Beneficiary Eligib	pility
	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Are these cases for	or:
	SCHIP 🖂	
	Medicaid and	SCHIP Combined
3.	Does your state rel	y on contractors to perform the above functions?
	⊠ Yes, pleas	e answer question below.
	☐ No	
4.		on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide contractors? Please explain: [7500]

CHIP meets monthly with BCBSMT staff regarding program and policy issues. Fraud and abuse cases may be addressed at that time and/or during a following meeting. CHIP refers complaints

regarding provider credentailing or billing to BCBSMT. The CHIP program follows up on complaints regarding beneficiary eligibility.

The Montana Insurance Commissioner's Office audits and follows up on consumer complaints pertaining to insurance companies operating in Montana.

5.	5. Do you contract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to oversight?	provide this
	Yes	
	No	
	Please explain: [500]	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

#2 above: the explanation - The BCBSMT SIU tracks all activity on a calendar year basis. BCBSMT did not work any cases specific to the CHIP program in 2008 and general provider cases are not individually tracked specific to the line of business that may be affected by any fraudulent activity. Recovery or restitution for specific lines of business is not calculated until the completion of a case. BCBSMT did not recover any payments under the CHIP program in 2008.

Due to the fact that many lines of business, including CHIP, are beginning to require annual reporting of anti-fraud activity, the BCBSMT SIU revised its case log to identify any CHIP activity.

- \*\*Comments regarding Section III, Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation, Substitution of Coverage, Questions 4., 4a., and 4b.:
- 4.) Many families applied for CHIP for their children because their Medicaid coverage ended. The number reported is only for children who applied for CHIP but had ongoing Medicaid coverage. CHIP matched the preliminary CHIP enrollment database with the Medicaid database. Consequently, CHIP did not enroll children who had ongoing Medicaid coverage. During FFY 2008, 491 children had coverage that ended for a reason which did not meet one of the insurance delay period exceptions. Those 491 children represent 2.2% of CHIP's total enrollment (22,679 unique children enrolled).
- 4a) There were 104 children who had other private insurance but did not serve the one month waiting period, and 1,693 applicants who had other private insurance who completed the waiting period. (104/1,693 = .06%)
- 4b) There were 491 children out of 1,693 (2.9%) who had insurance at the time of application. These children remained uninsured for one month waiting period prior to being enrolled in CHIP.

## **SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN**

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2008. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

#### **COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2008	2009	2010
Insurance payments	0	0	
Managed Care	0	0	
Fee for Service	29216277	34662958	54064208
Total Benefit Costs	29216277	34662958	54064208
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	0		
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 29216277	\$ 34662958	\$ 54064208

#### **Administration Costs**

Personnel	915968	1289070	2298635
General Administration	1173248	1684972	2375753
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	0	0	0
Claims Processing	191014	227112	354230
Outreach/Marketing costs	62980	124882	166795
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	434830	517005	806379
Health Services Initiatives	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	2778040	3843041	6001792
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	3246253	3851440	6007134

Federal Title XXI Share	24945969	29892207	46629236
State Share	7048348	8613792	13436764
	24004247	20505000	60066000

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	31994317	38505999	60066000

2. What were the	sources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?
	State appropriations County/local funds Employer contributions Foundation grants Private donations Tobacco settlement Other (specify) [500] State tobacco tax

3. Did you experience a short fall in SCHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal SCHIP funds for your program? [1500]

#### No

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have SCHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	20	08	20	09	2010		
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	
Managed Care	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	
Fee for Service	16130	\$ 165	17316	\$ 185	24658	\$ 203	

#### Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Implementation of ballot Initiative 155, the Healthy Montana Kids (HMK) Plan, is scheduled for October 1, 2009 (FFY 2010). It is dependent upon federal and state funding as well as CMS approval of our CHIP State Plan Amendment. Montana CHIP will have pre-implementation costs in FFY 2009 and implementation-related costs in FFY 2010. The FFY 2010 cost projections assume a significant increase in enrollment based on an increase in Montana's CHIP eligibility guidelines to 250% FPL.

# SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility				HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility			
	* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including							
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		

	al number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your ration during the reporting period.
	Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of <b>pregnant women</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
of children? You	ou found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to [1000]

Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2007 starts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07).* 

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service  Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

#### **Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2**

Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Administration Costs				
Managed care Pee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4  (e.g., childless adults)  Insurance Payments  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4  (e.g., childless adults)  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs	(e.g., parents)			
per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., chidless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	Insurance Payments			
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Cost Sot Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs India Admi	Managed care			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting) Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)	per member/per month rate for managed care			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Colimistration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)	Fee for Service			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)	Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Insurance Payments	Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			
Insurance Payments				_
Insurance Payments	Panalit Casts for Domanstration Banulation #2			
Insurance Payments  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #4  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs (Costs for Waiver Population #3  Insurance Payments  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Outher (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)				
Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administratior Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)				
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Outreach/Marketing costs 10%, Administration Costs 10%, Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)				
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Outler (specify) Total Administration Costs  Federal Title XXI Share				
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  Pederal Title XXI Share				
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)  Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs + 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults) Insurance Payments Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Insurance Payments	Total Belletit Costs for Walver Population #3			
Insurance Payments				
Insurance Payments  Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Managed care per member/per month rate for managed care  Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  Federal Title XXI Share	(e.g., childless adults)	 		
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  Federal Title XXI Share				
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	<u> </u>			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service  Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3  Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	per member/per month rate for managed care			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3    Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)	Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs (Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments) Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs  10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Coffsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments	Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Coffsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments				
Coffsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments				
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)  Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Administration Costs  Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Personnel General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share		 	 	
General Administration Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors) Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	Personnel			
Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Claims Processing Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Outreach/Marketing costs Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share	, ,			
Other (specify) Total Administration Costs 10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Total Administration Costs  10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)  Federal Title XXI Share				
Federal Title XXI Share				
	10 /0 Administrative Cap (Het benefit Costs ÷ 9)			
	Federal Title XXI Share			
Otate Onare				
	Otate Oliaie			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations. [500]

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

# SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP. **[7500]** 

In November, 2008, Montana voters passed Initiative I-155, Healthy Montana Kids (HMK), by a 70% margin. It is anticipated CHIP enrollment could expand to include an additional 30,000 currently uninsured Montana children with family incomes between 185%-250% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). The successes of the HMK Initiative's implementation is dependent on several factors: 1) 2009 Montana Legislature appropriating designated funding; 2) CMS approval of Montana CHIP's state plan amendment identifying the initiative changes; and 3) availability of Federal funds which impacts the state funding match.

Within the past year, our state's political and fiscal environment was impacted by the ongoing operation of Montana CHIP amid the uncertainty of failed federal reauthorization bills. Montana executive and legislative bodies were concerned about increases in CHIP's income guidelines with the uncertainty of federal funding and what it meant to the state budget and matching rate. The passage of the Healthy Montana Kids Initiative requires an increase in the income guidelines but does not resolve the lack of consistent and sustainable federal funding for the program.

While Montana state officials closely watch CHIP reauthorization, Montana's U.S. Senator Max Baucus spearheaded the national reauthorization in his role as chairman of the U.S. Senate Finance Committee. In September, 2007, Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services Director Joan Miles testified at a Senate Finance hearing in Billings regarding CHIP's value to Montana families and the provider community.

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? **[7500]** 

The lack of timely federal CHIP reauthorization generated numerous news stories on a national and state level which caused confusion among the general public about our state's income guidelines, who was eligible for enrollment (Montana covers only children), if the program would continue, or if a waiting list has been reinstated. The CHIP extension, rather than reauthorization, also caused CHIP staff to be concerned regarding their own job security.

#### Other Challenges:

- -Montana was one of the FFY 2008 states selected for review under the federally mandated Payment Error Rate Measurement (PERM). Montana spend significant staff time and limited administrative dollars complying with PERM guidelines. The additional drain on administrative dollars is particularly challenging for Montana since we operate a stand-alone CHIP program in which administrative costs are limited to 10%.
- Montana CHIP boasted increased enrollment in the fiscal year, however, the challenge was to process these increased applications in a timely manner while dealing with considerable staff turnover. The hiring and training of new staff is labor intensive and causes an increase in the workload of existing staff.
- Administering Montana's CHIP program in the most cost effective manner while providing quality level of benefits and services for enrollees due to the restrictive 10% administrative cap.
- Ongoing issues associated with statewide provider re-enrollment more than one year after the initial implementation. The re-enrollment, carried out at the behest of CMS, resulted in a number of providers threatening to quit as Montana CHIP providers due to the ongoing confusion revolving around their reenrollment paperwork, significant problems encountered with timely claims processing despite considerable time spent by CHIP staff in assisting providers to navigate through the unwieldy process.

- Ongoing confusion among CHIP/Medicaid families as they transfer between the two programs since the programs have differences in regards to eligibility criteria, documentation needs, and benefit packages.

During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

- 1. New staff hires allowed the timely processing of the ever growing number of CHIP applications and contract management
- 2. All new eligibility files and incoming mail is now scanned in an electronic filing system, reviewed by internal quality control quidelines, and stored on an electronic platform which allows easy access for staff to process and review applications. All existing eligibility files were fully converted to the new system.
- 3. Development and delivery of general and specialized training modules incorporating CHIP eligibility policy and the KIDS data base system entry criteria for demographics, basic eligibility and varying income determination.
- 4. Enhancements to the KIDS database system to allow staff better navigation and reporting abilities.
- 5. Extended Dental Program materials development, provider outreach, and implementation of a new program which allocated nearly \$1,000,000 for SFY 2007 and 2008 in new funds for CHIP children to address significant dental needs beyond what the basic CHIP dental benefit would cover.
- 6. Extensive development of policy material and clinical assessment guidelines and implementation of training for BCBSMT Customer Service Staff, case managers and statewide mental health providers related to the Extended Mental Health benefits.
- 7. Development of new materials for the Extended Dental Plan, training and outreach to providers at the statewide conference and regional Provider Fairs. The Extended Dental Plan allocated \$453.926 between October, 2007 and July, 2008. Funds provided services for 703 children with significant dental needs.
- 8. Two members of CHIP staff selected to receive the Governor's Award for Excellence
- 9. Contract negotiations with BCBSMT resulted in an amended TPA contract for FFY 2009
- 10. Ongoing research and contacts to incorporate a true "on line" application
- 11. Training developed and delivered to CHIP staff involved with data queries and analysis
- 12. Extensive coordination with Medicaid staff in regard to new database system, CHIMES, and updating and improving the CHIP interface with that system

What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

Montana CHIP's future plans include:

- 1. Implement HMK and forge a closer coordination with Medicaid to meet the initiative directives.
- 2. Increase the number of low-to-moderate income Montana children who have insurance coverage
- 3. Hire more staff to assist with the additional responsibilities associated with increased enrollment
- 4. Continue to work with our contractor, Northrup Grumman, to develop and improve our eligibility data sytem
- 5. Conduct targeted surveys: a) rentention; b) customer satisfaction; and c) outreach
- 6. Continue outreach to the mental health communities, dental providers and the public regarding basic and extended mental health benefits and the extended dental plan.

- 7. Continue to recruit and train community partners as CHIP advocates and broaden CHIP's provider network.
- 8. Update the CHIP dental provider manual and outreach materials
- 9. Finalize more effective interface requirements with the new Medicaid eligibility system in development for statewide Office of Public Assistance and subsequent referrals to CHIP, and
- 10. Implement other program changes required by any reauthorization legislation

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]